12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

VINCENT BOCCHICCTIO, Case No. 2:15-CV-528 JCM (GWF)

Plaintiff(s), ORDER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Defendant(s).

Presently before the court are the findings and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley. (Doc. #10). No objections were filed, and the deadline for filing objections has passed.

Magistrate Judge Foley recommended that plaintiff's complaint (doc. #1) be dismissed with prejudice.

This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's **findings and recommendation**, then the court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [**report and recommendation**] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003)

## Case 2:15-cv-00528-JCM-GWF Document 11 Filed 02/05/16 Page 2 of 2

1 (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna–Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are 2 not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no 3 objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a 4 5 magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed). 6 Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine 7 whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the 8 recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause to ADOPT the magistrate 9 judge's findings in full. 10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the findings and 11 12 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley, (doc. #10), are ADOPTED in their entirety. 13 DATED February 5, 2016. Cellus C. Mahan 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28